Reconstructing Chemical Weathering Intensity in the Mekong River Basin Since the Last Glacial Maximum
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Abstract High-resolution clay mineralogy and major element geochemistry of Core SO18383-3 collected offshore the Mekong River mouth in the southern South China Sea have been investigated, aiming to reconstruct sediment provenance and chemical weathering intensity since the last glaciation. The chronology is based on foraminiferal accelerator mass spectrometry 14C dates. Clay mineral analysis suggests that the Mekong River is a major sedimentary source for the studied area. The values of chemical index of alteration (CIA) indicate moderate chemical weathering in the Mekong River basin. Smectite/(illite + chlorite) and smectite/kaolinite ratios coupled with TiO2/K2O ratio reveal the temporal variation in chemical weathering intensity in the Mekong River basin. The lower ratios around the Last Glacial Maximum (29.9–14.7 cal ka BP), the Heinrich Event 1 (~14.8 cal ka BP), the Younger Dryas interval (12.4–11.3 cal ka BP), and middle to late Holocene (7.6–1.1 cal ka BP) indicate weak chemical weathering intensity. In contrast, the higher ratios occurring during the Bølling-Allerød period (14.7–12.7 cal ka BP) and the period of main deglaciation (14.7–7.6 cal ka BP) indicate stronger chemical weathering in the Mekong River basin. The good correlations between these proxies and the available proxy records of the East Asian summer monsoon intensity suggest that the intensity of chemical weathering in the Mekong River basin over the last 30 ka is significantly controlled by the summer monsoon. This study greatly increases our understanding of the principal forcing factor on continental weathering in the Mekong River basin since the Last Glacial Maximum.

1. Introduction

The chemical weathering on land is the primary process responsible for topographic evolution and exerts a major control on the terrigenous sediment transport from the land to the sea (Riebe et al., 2004; Limmer et al., 2012; Zhao, Wan, et al., 2018). It also plays a critical role in the global climate change by regulating atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations on geological timescales (Ludwig et al., 1999; Riebe et al., 2004; Wan et al., 2017). In general, the continental weathering processes are regulated and complicated by several factors including climate, tectonics, and lithology at various scales (Liu et al., 2012; Meybeck, 1987; Oliva et al., 2003). However, climatic condition has typically been regarded as the primary determinant of continental weathering (Clift et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2005; Wei et al., 2006). A stronger chemical weathering rate correlates to more humid and warm climates. Meanwhile, weaker chemical weathering relates to drier climates (Colin et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2005). However, some studies argue that strong physical erosion may also be related to a warm climate, when monsoon rainfall increases, owing to faster runoff in the absence of drainage capture (Clift et al., 2014; Dadson et al., 2003; Zhao et al., 2017). Consequently, the interaction of chemical weathering with climatic conditions remains elusive and needs more investigation.

Southeast Asia has very high input of weathered sediments that can provide invaluable information on the evolution of continental weathering (Clift et al., 2004; Huang et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2005, 2012; Milliman et al., 1999). Comparing the amount of fluvial discharge from rivers in this region, the Mekong River is regarded as the primary fluvial system to supply terrigenous sediments to the South China Sea (SCS; Milliman et al., 1999; Liu & Stattegger, 2014; Figure 1). The Mekong River is 4,350 km long, originates on the Tibetan Plateau, drains large parts of southwestern China, Myanmar, Thailand, Laos, Cambodia, and Vietnam, and finally enters the southern SCS through the Mekong Delta (Figure 1). The Mekong River has a drainage basin of 810,000 km², with an annual sediment discharge of 160 million tons (Milliman & Syvitski, 1992), making it the longest and largest river by volume in Southeast Asia, and the 12th longest...
Figure 1. Topographic map of SE Asia showing modern fluvial drainage systems surrounding the South China Sea (SCS) and paleo-river systems developed on the Sunda Shelf during the Last Glacial Maximum sea-level lowstand. The locations of Core SO18383-3 (yellow star) and referred cores (yellow circles) and river samples (blue circles) used in this paper are presented. Arrows with numbers indicate annual suspended sediment discharge (Mt/year; revised after Liu & Stattegger, 2014). Arrow lines show surface current systems in winter (yellow) and summer (red), which are after Liu et al. (2016). Paleo-coastlines are based on present-day bathymetric depth contours (Hanebuth et al., 2011; Tjallingii et al., 2014). Paleo-river systems are modified from Voris (2000) and Sathiamurthy and Voris (2006).
and 8th largest by volume in the world. In the Mekong River basin, climatic setting is strongly dominated by the East Asian monsoon that plays an important role for the river hydrology and river derived sediments (Debenay & Luan, 2006; Unverricht et al., 2013; Xue et al., 2012). Such a large basin in the East Asian monsoon region and a huge input of terrigenous sediments from the land, the Mekong River basin is an ideal area to study the weathering history of silicate weathering processes that can increase our understanding of the principal forcing factor on continental weathering interacting with variations of the East Asian monsoon in South China and Southeast Asia regions.

As direct weathering products of continental rocks, clay minerals and elements of terrigenous sediments can provide the most powerful tool for reconstructing the chemical weathering history (Liu et al., 2005; Miriyala et al., 2017; Wan et al., 2017; Zhao, Liu, et al., 2018). In the Mekong River basin, a study of Liu et al. (2004, 2005) employed clay minerals and elements as chemical weathering proxy over the past 190 ka, showing strengthened chemical weathering corresponding to increased sediment discharge and weakened physical erosion during interglacial periods; in contrast, weakened chemical weathering is associated with reduced sediment discharge and intensified physical erosion during glacial periods. Meanwhile, Colin et al. (2010) implied that higher chemical weathering of detrital material originates mainly from the lower reach of the Mekong River basin over the past 25 ka. However, these previous studies do not reveal a chemical weathering status within the Mekong River basin such as chemical index of alteration (CIA) that is a more sensitive measure of the degree of chemical weathering and the most accepted weathering indicator (Nesbitt & Young, 1982). Moreover, how chemical weathering in the Mekong River basin responds to the rapid changes of the East Asian monsoon, that is, Heinrich Events (H1 and H2), Bolling-Allerød (B/A), and Younger Dryas (Dykoski et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2001), remains poorly understood because of lack of high-resolution study in this area. Therefore, a comprehensive study of high-resolution terrigenous-based proxies that can indicate a quantitative degree of chemical weathering in the Mekong River basin needs to be examined. This would help to enhance understanding of an integrated weathering history and continental weathering relating to variations of the East Asian monsoon climate. In this study, we adopt proxies that combine measurements of clay minerals and major elements to assess the history of chemical weathering intensity and weathering status. High-resolution clay mineralogy and major element geochemistry are investigated in the gravity Core SO18383-3 recovered off the Mekong River mouth in the southern SCS. The objectives of this study are to (1) identify the main sediment provenance in this area, (2) reveal the quantitative degrees of chemical weathering in the Mekong River basin, and (3) reconstruct the history of chemical weathering intensity in response to changes in the East Asian monsoon since the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM).

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Material

The gravity Core SO18383-3 (7°38.54′N, 109°29.15′E; 710.6-m water depth; 9.44 m long) was retrieved on the continental slope off the Mekong River mouth in the southern SCS during the cruise SO-140 on RV SONNE (Figure 1). The upper 1.0 m in the studied core is composed of olive gray homogeneous silt and clay; the lower section from 1.0-m depth to the base of the core is dominated by dark greenish gray homogeneous silt and clay (Figure 2). This sediment core was sampled at a resolution of 4 cm, obtaining 237 samples in total. All samples were analyzed for carbonate content and clay minerals. Among them, a total of 166 samples, taken every 4-cm interval down to 4 m and every 8-cm interval from 4 m to the base, were analyzed for major elements. Six foraminiferal samples from different depths were used for measuring accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) 14C dating for reconstructing the age model.

2.2. Analytical Methods

All clay mineral and geochemical analyses except AMS 14C dating were processed at the State Key Laboratory of Marine Geology, Tongji University. Clay minerals were identified by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a PANalytical X’Pert PRO diffractometer on oriented mounts of noncalcareous, clay-sized particles (Holtzapfel, 1985). Pretreatment of oriented mounts and measurements were based on the method described by Liu et al. (2004). After the removal of carbonate and organic matter, the clay minerals (<2 μm) were separated using the principle of Stokes’ settling velocity. The extracted clay minerals were smeared on the surface of the glass slides, and they were then dried at room temperature. Three XRD runs were performed under air-dried, ethylene-glycol solvation for 24 hr, and heating at 490 °C for 2 hr. Identification and
The interpretation of the clay minerals were made mainly according to the (001) basal reflections on the three XRD diagrams. Semiquantitative estimates of peak areas of the basal reflections for smectite (including mixed-layers; 15–17 Å), illite (10 Å), and kaolinite/chlorite (7 Å) were carried out on the glycolated curve by using the MacDiff software. Relative proportions of kaolinite and chlorite were separated by relative proportions according to the 3.57/3.54 Å peak areas. Moreover, some mineralogical characters of illite were determined on the glycolated curve. The illite crystallinity was expressed as the full width at half maximum of the illite 10 Å peak. Meanwhile, the illite chemical index was estimated from the 5/10 Å illite peak areas (Esquevin, 1969).

Analysis of major elements was performed on bulk sediments by X-ray fluorescence, using a PANalytical Axios MAX Spectrometer. The dried sediments were ground to powder with an agate pestle and mortar. About 4 g of the powder was mixed with H3BO3 in a set of cylinder mold, and then compressed with 200 kN. Overall analytical precision and accuracy were monitored by measurements of the national geostandards GSR-6 and GSD-15 provided by National Research Center for Geoanalysis of marine sediments. The results were in the range of the verified values.

AMS 14C dating and carbonate stratigraphy were analyzed in order to establish a reliable age model. For four samples on the upper part of the core with relatively high carbonate contents, more than 8 mg of well-preserved planktonic Globigerinoides ruber shells (no size limits) were picked from each sample for AMS 14C dating. However, the glacial sediments in the lower part of the core contain very low carbonate contents (<5%), resulting in insufficient planktonic foraminifera (G. ruber, even together with other planktonic species). We then picked more than 8 mg of mixed species of planktonic and benthic foraminifera (including G. ruber, Uvigerina sp., Cibicidoides sp., and Bulimina sp.) from each sample for two samples in the bottom part for AMS 14C dating. Although the benthic foraminifera should give slightly older ages than those from the planktonic foraminifera (Wan & Jian, 2014), the mixture of planktonic and benthic foraminifera from the similar glacial sediments in the southern SCS can provide an acceptable AMS 14C dating (jiwarungrueangkul et al., 2019). The AMS 14C dating was done at Beta Analytic Laboratory, USA. The carbonate content was determined by a reaction with HCl in a pressure calcimeter that had been calibrated. Before the analysis, the bulk samples were ground to powder with an agate pestle and mortar. One in every 10 samples was determined in replication for quality control. The values of relative standard deviation of the replicate samples were less than 2%.

Figure 2. Lithology and age model of Core SO18383-3 showing lithology, foraminiferal AMS 14C datings (blue triangle), sedimentation rate, and carbonate content. The age depth relationship was calculated with the Bayesian statistic by using the Bacon age-modeling (Blaauw & Christen, 2011) overlying the distributions of the individual dates (blue). Line curve shows the best model (red line: mean), area between blue lines indicate chronological uncertainties (the model’s 95% probability interval).
3. Results

3.1. Age Model

The AMS $^{14}$C datings of Core SO18383-3 are reported in Table 1. The age model of Core SO18383-3 was established by using AMS $^{14}$C data. The six AMS $^{14}$C data were calibrated to the calendar year before present (cal year BP) using the Bayesian age-depth model (Figure 2). The Bayesian age-depth model implemented in R version 3.6.0 with a Bacon age modeling (Blaauw & Christen, 2011) and the updated age calibration curve of Marine13 (0–50,000 cal year; Reimer et al., 2013). The general pattern of carbonate content of the studied core are within the range of 4% to 38%, with a relatively high percentage during the Holocene and high percentage in glacial time (Figure 2), in agreement with previous studies in the southern SCS (e.g., Jiwarungrueangkul et al., 2019; Li et al., 2010; Steinke et al., 2003; Zhao et al., 2006), supporting our age model. The investigated interval of the studied core has a basal age of 29.9 cal ka BP. The linear sedimentation rate varies in the range of 15–53 cm/ka, with an average of 32 cm/ka (Figure 2). There is clear evidence that the sedimentation rate of Core 18383-3 in the Late Pleistocene was higher than that of the Holocene with higher values during early stages of deglaciation and lower values during middle and late Holocene.

3.2. Clay Minerals

The clay mineral assemblage of Core SO18383-3 consists mainly of smectite (25–58%) and illite (19–38%), with a minor abundance of chlorite (12–21%) and kaolinite (11–19%; Figure 3). Generally, illite and chlorite contents show a similar pattern throughout the record. The distribution patterns of illite and chlorite show relatively higher contents between 29.9 and 17.1 cal ka BP. Meanwhile, they display gradually decreasing contents after the Heinrich Event 1 (17.1 cal ka BP) and then are stable during 7.6–1.1 cal ka BP (Figure 3). The pattern of kaolinite content is generally similar to illite and chlorite contents. It presents a gradually increasing trend during 29.9–13.2 cal ka BP and a decreasing trend between 13.2 and 7.6 cal ka BP, followed by a slightly increasing trend over the last 7.6 ka. Smectite exhibits no correspondence with those three clay minerals. It shows lower contents during the interval of 29.9–17.1 cal ka BP but increases gradually since the Bølling-Allerød period (around 14.7 cal ka BP), and conversely decreases gradually over the last 7.6 ka. The illite crystallinity varies between 0.15 and 0.21 °2θ with an average value of 0.18 °2θ, showing a decreasing trend from around 14.8 cal ka BP. Meanwhile, the illite chemical chemistry index shows a narrow range of 0.36 to 0.49 (average 0.41) without a systematic trend (Figure 3).

3.3. Major Elements

The clay mineral assemblage of Core SO18383-3 consists mainly of smectite (25–58%) and illite (19–38%), with a minor abundance of chlorite (12–21%) and kaolinite (11–19%; Figure 3). Generally, illite and chlorite contents show a similar pattern throughout the record. The distribution patterns of illite and chlorite show relatively higher contents between 29.9 and 17.1 cal ka BP. Meanwhile, they display gradually decreasing contents after the Heinrich Event 1 (17.1 cal ka BP) and then are stable during 7.6–1.1 cal ka BP (Figure 3). The pattern of kaolinite content is generally similar to illite and chlorite contents. It presents a gradually increasing trend during 29.9–13.2 cal ka BP and a decreasing trend between 13.2 and 7.6 cal ka BP, followed by a slightly increasing trend over the last 7.6 ka. Smectite exhibits no correspondence with those three clay minerals. It shows lower contents during the interval of 29.9–17.1 cal ka BP but increases gradually since the Bølling-Allerød period (around 14.7 cal ka BP), and conversely decreases gradually over the last 7.6 ka. The illite crystallinity varies between 0.15 and 0.21 °2θ with an average value of 0.18 °2θ, showing a decreasing trend from around 14.8 cal ka BP. Meanwhile, the illite chemical chemistry index shows a narrow range of 0.36 to 0.49 (average 0.41) without a systematic trend (Figure 3).

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>Sample depth (cm)</th>
<th>Sample material</th>
<th>Radiocarbon age (year BP)</th>
<th>Calibrated age (cal year BP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4–13</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td><em>G. ruber</em></td>
<td>1,710 ± 30</td>
<td>1,291 ± 127 (1,180–1,434)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96–97</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td><em>G. ruber</em></td>
<td>6,750 ± 30</td>
<td>7,306 ± 136 (7,161–7,433)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172–181</td>
<td>176.5</td>
<td><em>G. ruber</em></td>
<td>9,620 ± 30</td>
<td>10,446 ± 251 (10,140–10,641)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>208–217</td>
<td>212.5</td>
<td><em>G. ruber</em></td>
<td>9,680 ± 30</td>
<td>10,795 ± 253 (10,600–11,107)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>424–433</td>
<td>428.5</td>
<td>Mixed foraminifera&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13,220 ± 40</td>
<td>15,372 ± 339 (15,072–15,751)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>936–937</td>
<td>936.5</td>
<td>Mixed foraminifera&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>26,060 ± 100</td>
<td>29,852 ± 645 (29,189–30,432)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Mixed benthic and planktic foraminifera for radiocarbon dating.
low content of TiO$_2$ (0.6–0.8%; Figure 4). Temporal patterns of Al$_2$O$_3$, Fe$_2$O$_3$, SiO$_2$, K$_2$O, and TiO$_2$ are basically similar in their distribution. The sediments between 29.9 and 14.7 cal ka BP are characterized by high contents of these elements. Meanwhile, these elements show a gradual decrease since the Bølling-Allerød period (14.7 cal ka BP) and reach stable low contents during 7.6–1.1 cal ka BP or a slight increase during the late Holocene (Al$_2$O$_3$ and K$_2$O; Figure 4). In contrast, temporal variations of CaO, MgO, and Na$_2$O show generally an inverse correlation to Al$_2$O$_3$. Elements CaO, MgO, and Na$_2$O show lower contents from 29.9 to 14.7 cal ka BP followed by a gradual increase during 14.7–7.6 cal ka BP. The contents of these elements remain again stable over the last 7.6 ka or decrease slightly during the late Holocene (CaO; Figure 4).

4. Discussion
4.1. Provenance Analysis

The potential source and transport process of terrigenous sediments deposited in a marginal sea are required in reconstructing paleoenvironment (Huang et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2005; Wan et al., 2010). Clay minerals that are formed under influences of climatic condition, tectonic activity, and lithology in the source area are a useful tool to constrain the provenance of fine-grained terrigenous sediments in marine sediments (Biscaye, 1965), and several previous studies have successfully achieved using clay mineralogy for studying sediment provenances in the SCS (e.g., Liu et al., 2004; Steinke et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2017; Zhao, Liu, et al., 2018; Jiwarungrueangkul et al., 2019). Because of low eolian fluxes in the southern SCS (Liu et al., 2004; Wehausen et al., 2003), the terrigenous sediments in this region are mainly delivered by the surrounding rivers, such as the Mekong River and rivers from Borneo, Sumatra, the Malay Peninsula, and Thailand (Liu et al., 2007, 2016; Steinke et al., 2008; Huang et al., 2016; Figure 1). In order to observe and interpret sediment provenance, the clay mineral assemblages of Core SO18383-3 and river samples of these potential sources are plotted in a ternary diagram (Figure 5).

Figure 4. Temporal variations in major element contents of Core SO18383-3. The foraminiferal accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) $^{14}$C datings (blue triangle) is also displayed. Carbonate content (CaCO$_3$%) shows a linear correlation to CaO (%). The shaded bars indicate abrupt climate events as in Figure 3.

Here, the clay mineral assemblage of Core SO18383-3 has no clear overlap with these potential sources. This could tend to the interpretation of multiple potential provenances as following previous studies (e.g., Chen et al., 2017; Steinke et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2015).

However, we see strong evidence that the terrigenous sediments of the studied core could have been mainly transported from the Mekong River. Illite and chlorite have a similar distribution along the entire record (Figure 3), suggesting that these two clays have similar sources. Therefore, illite provenance analysis is also relevant to chlorite provenance. In order to trace a significant source of illite for this site, illite chemistry index together with illite crystallinity that have been widely used to indicate the source of illite in the SCS (e.g., Huang et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2007; Steinke et al., 2008) are plotted (Figure 6). As illustrated in Figure 6, most of our samples are adjacent to the Mekong River samples and very different from other potential sources, indicating that illite could have been mainly contributed by the Mekong River. This also implies that the Mekong River is the main contributor of chlorite for this area. In the Mekong River basin, the highland reach that is underlain by abundant metamorphic and granitic rocks is the main source of illite and chlorite within the basin (Liu et al., 2004, 2005).

Although both smectite and kaolinite should have been transported from the western sources, such as Sumatra, Malay Peninsula, and Thailand (Figure 5), the close position of the studied core to the Mekong River mouth and inconsistency of both minerals could exclude significant contributions of smectite and kaolinite from the western sources for the following reasons (Figure 1). Due to the fact that the western sources have high contents of kaolinite (Liu et al., 2012), enhanced summer monsoon (southwesterly) currents especially during the Holocene should transport kaolinite from the western sources to this area. However, at the
studied core, kaolinite content is lower in Holocene than in Late Pleistocene sediments (Figure 3). This inconsistency suggests that the kaolinite contribution from the western sources is less important in this area. Similarly, if smectite would be provided from western sources where there is also high content of kaolinite, an increase in smectite content should be also associated with an increase in kaolinite content. Nonetheless, they are not in correlation with each other (Figure 3), which means that smectite originated from western sources (high smectite and kaolinite end-members) can be also excluded for this area. The trend of an increasing content of smectite from the last glacial period to the Holocene period could be

![Figure 5. Ternary diagram of clay mineral assemblage of Core SO18383-3. Surrounding river samples for potential sediment sources are indicated for comparison, including the Mekong River and Thailand (Chao Phraya) river systems as well as river systems in Sumatra, Malay Peninsula, western (W) and northern (N) Borneo (Liu et al., 2007, 2012, 2016). Data of Core MD01-2393 from Liu et al. (2004). The arrow indicates the differential settling of smectite. AMS = accelerator mass spectrometry.](image)

studied core, kaolinite content is lower in Holocene than in Late Pleistocene sediments (Figure 3). This inconsistency suggests that the kaolinite contribution from the western sources is less important in this area. Similarly, if smectite would be provided from western sources where there is also high content of kaolinite, an increase in smectite content should be also associated with an increase in kaolinite content. Nonetheless, they are not in correlation with each other (Figure 3), which means that smectite originated from western sources (high smectite and kaolinite end-members) can be also excluded for this area. The trend of an increasing content of smectite from the last glacial period to the Holocene period could be

![Figure 6. Illite provenance analysis of Core SO18383-3 based on the comparison of illite chemical index with illite crystallinity. Data of surrounding river samples as in Figure 5.](image)
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linked to an enhanced input of sediments from Thailand (Figure 5), but those are generally trapped within the Gulf of Thailand and the exchange of clay minerals between the Gulf of Thailand and the southern SCS has been very limited, due to the strong clockwise surface circulation in the entrance region during the Holocene (Liu et al., 2016; Figure 1). Therefore, we suppose that a slightly higher content of smectite during the Holocene period could be due to the strengthened monsoon rainfall during the Holocene (Dykoski et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2001), resulting in a higher input of smectite from the Mekong River basin (Colin et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2004).

Accordingly, we strongly suggest that both smectite and kaolinite in our core are contributed by the Mekong River, rather than by western sources. The differential settling effect of smectite in more saline and distal waters, which was reported in the SCS basin-wide clay mineral distribution (Liu et al., 2016), can explain that 4–15% smectite in the Mekong River sediments is potentially an important source for 25–58% smectite in the studied area. In Figure 5, the core samples are distributed along the extension of increased smectite end-member from the Mekong River samples. In the Mekong River basin, bisialitic soils that developed well in the middle to lower reaches of the basin could be main sources of smectite that is mainly produced by chemical weathering process (Colin et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2004, 2005). Meanwhile, ferrallitic soils located in the middle reach of the basin are major potential sources of kaolinite to the southern SCS (Liu et al., 2004, 2005). In general, kaolinite is frequently found in soils of intertropical land masses characterized by a warm, humid climate, and therefore displays a strong climatic dependence controlled by the intensity of continental hydrolysis (Chamley, 1989). In addition, kaolinite is common on steep slopes within the drainage basin. However, in Core SO18383-3, temporal variation of kaolinite contents indicates a pattern similar to those of illite and chlorite (Figure 3). This is suggested that kaolinite in the Mekong River basin correlates to physical erosion instead of reflecting contemporary climates (e.g., Liu et al., 2005). The general consistency between higher kaolinite contents and higher linear sedimentation rates during the period around LGM could support this hypothesis (Figures 2 and 3).

Our findings are also supported by previous studies located nearby, suggesting that a primary sediment source is the Mekong River, namely Cores MD97-2150 and MD01-2932 (Liu et al., 2004) and CG86 (Fu et al., 2011; Figure 1). Furthermore, the clay mineral assemblage of Core SO18383-3 is mostly in agreement with Core MD01-2932 (Liu et al., 2004; Colin et al., 2010; Figure 5). Based on Liu et al. (2005), the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ and $^{58}\text{Nd}(0)$ values of Core MD01-2393 sediments are similar to Mekong River samples, implying that the Mekong River is the major source of sediments to the margin close to the Mekong River mouth. Also, it is linearly distributed between end-members of illite + chlorite and smectite and compares closely to data from the Mekong River (Figure 5). These evidences can support the suggestion that terrigenous sediments in this area mainly come from the Mekong River, which is the most important sediment contributor into the southern SCS, without change of dominating provenance throughout the entire record of the studied core.

4.2. Late Pleistocene and Holocene Chemical Weathering in the Mekong River Basin

4.2.1. Intensity of Chemical Weathering

The chemical weathering intensity of the sediments can be revealed by a quantitative degree of chemical alteration of silicate, such as the calculated value of the CIA (Nesbitt & Young, 1982). The CIA is basically based on the relative mobility of Na, K, and Ca in aqueous fluids, compared to immobile Al that tends to be concentrated in the residues of weathered rocks. The CIA is calculated as follows: CIA = $[\text{Al}_{2}\text{O}_3/(\text{Al}_{2}\text{O}_3 + \text{CaO}^{\#} + \text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{K}_2\text{O})] \times 100$ (Nesbitt & Young, 1982). The CaO$^{\#}$ represents the calcium content from the silicate fraction of the terrigenous sediments, which had been corrected for phosphate and carbonate contents in this study (Hu et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2007). Additionally, we also corrected Na$_2$O, K$_2$O and CaO for salt. The content of excess Cl ($C_{\text{Cl}}$) is used for representative of salt correction, which is defined as: $C_{\text{Cl}} = C_{\text{Cl tot}} - (0.008 \times A_{\text{tot}})$. The $C_{\text{Cl tot}}$ and $A_{\text{tot}}$ are the total Cl and Al contents in the bulk sediments, while 0.008 is the Cl/Al ratio of the upper continental crust (Taylor & McLennan, 1985). Based on the $C_{\text{Cl}}$ content, the Na$_2$O, K$_2$O, and CaO contents were done by the normative method for salt correction, based on seawater composition at salinity of 35 ppt (Libes, 2009).

The CIA values recorded in Core SO18383-3 vary in the range of 71–77. As previously discussed, the terrigenous sediments in this area are mainly derived from the Mekong River; thus, the CIA values reflect significantly the chemical weathering intensity in the Mekong River basin. The CIA values of Core SO18383-3 demonstrate the moderate degree of chemical weathering in this basin over the last 29.9 ka. The CIA
values based on the bulk riverine sediments of the Mekong River are in the range of 72–83 (Liu et al., 2007), suggesting moderate to strong chemical weathering in the Mekong River basin during present time.

To better understand the alteration of geochemical behaviors during weathering process, the percentage changes of Na2O and K2O relative to Al2O3 were plotted against the CIA (Figures 7a and 7b). The diagrams provide a basis for assessing increase or decrease in chemical mobility during progressive chemical weathering of the sediments in the Mekong River basin. The Na2O pattern shows a strongly decreasing trend of chemical mobility ($r = -0.99$) rather than that of K2O ($r = -0.64$), indicating a preferential hydrolysis of plagioclase (enrichment of Na) relative to K-feldspar and micas (enrichment in K) during the weathering process in the Mekong River basin. This is supported by a study of Liu et al. (2007) that also found higher alteration of plagioclase as compared to K-feldspar in Mekong River sediments.

4.2.2. CIA Variability, Chemical Weathering and Monsoon Climate

Generally, warm temperature and abundant rainfall are the principal forcing factors for the chemical weathering on land in tropical Southeast Asia (Liu et al., 2012). Thus, a period of strengthening of the East Asian summer monsoon, which brings a humid climate and heavy rainfall in the basin (An et al., 2000; Colin et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2005, 2012), would be expected to increase CIA values following the basic principles of silicate weathering. Nevertheless, the general trend of CIA values recorded in Core SO18383-3 is not significantly correlated with East Asian paleomonsoon records, including stalagmite oxygen isotopes ($\delta^{18}$O) records of Hulu, Dongge, and northern Borneo caves (Wang et al., 2001; Dykoski et al., 2005; Carolin et al., 2016; Figure 8). Accordingly, we believe that there is more complicated climate feedback than the summer monsoon intensity that effects the temporal variation of CIA values recorded in the studied core.

One possible reason for this is that the sediment grain size may influence the CIA variation, disturbing the significance as proxy of monsoon intensity or chemical weathering or both (e.g., Clift, 2015; Limmer et al., 2012). Unfortunately, the grain size analysis does not coincide with the geochemical analysis in this study. We tested the link with grain size using Al2O3/SiO2 ratio since Al2O3 is mostly associated with clay minerals that is expected to be mostly controlled by the fine-grained sediment (Biscaye, 1965), whereas SiO2 is contained particularly in quartz (SiO2), commonly associated with the coarser-grained sediment fraction (Cuven et al., 2010). Thus, Al2O3/SiO2 ratio is indicative of the relative proportion of aluminosilicates...
(fine-grained sediment) to quartz (coarse-grained sediment), and has been widely used as a grain size proxy (e.g., Calvert & Pedersen, 2007; Clift et al., 2014; Martinez-Ruiz et al., 2015). As shown in Figure 7c, CIA value displays a strong positive correlation with $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{SiO}_2$ ratio ($r = 0.70$). This indicates that the temporal variation in CIA values recorded in Core SO18383-3 is significantly influenced by sediment grain size, and the greater CIA is primarily a result of the enrichment of fine-grained sediments in the weathering profile (Figure 8). This evidence indicates that the variation in CIA value might be not...
sufficient to evaluate the instantaneous state of chemical weathering rates at specific monsoon rainfall conditions. This is in agreement with a previous study that has shown that the CIA probably cannot be used as a reliable proxy of instantaneous chemical weathering (Hu et al., 2012). Therefore, caution should be taken when using the temporal variation of CIA values as a proxy for reconstructing chemical weathering evolution from marine sedimentary records. However, on a long-time scale, we observe CIA values of the last glacial period being lower than the Holocene ones (Figure 8), reflecting stronger chemical weathering rate during the Holocene than during the LGM due to a strengthening of the Holocene summer monsoon (Hu et al., 2012; Wang et al., 1999; Wang & Wang, 1990). Related studies investigated the temporal variation of CIA values on longer time scales (million years; e.g., Clift et al., 2008, 2014; Wei et al., 2006) but not on high-resolution millennial scales (e.g., Hu et al., 2012). Consequently, we conclude that the CIA recorded in the studied core can be a good indicator of the general weathering intensity registered in sediments with superimposed grain size effects, which is sufficient to obtain a general picture of chemical weathering in the Mekong River basin, rather than modulations in the chemical weathering evolution.

4.2.3. Chemical Weathering History Using Clay Mineralogy and Major Element Geochemistry

Although the temporal variation in the CIA value recorded in the studied core is less responsive to the continuous state of chemical weathering rate on a short-time scale, an alternative approach that can be used for reconstructing chemical weathering intensity is clay mineral compositions (e.g., Liu et al., 2004, 2005; Colin et al., 2010; Zhao, Liu, et al., 2018). In the Mekong River basin, clay minerals are produced by different processes and are distributed in different areas of the basin (Liu et al., 2004, 2005). Both illite and chlorite primarily result from the physical erosion of metamorphic and granitic rocks that are derived mainly from the eastern Tibetan Plateau, the hinterland of the Mekong River basin (Liu et al., 2005). Meanwhile, most of the kaolinite in this basin could also be derived from active erosion of inherited clays in the middle reach of the Mekong River (Liu et al., 2004). On the other hand, smectite is a secondary mineral that is mainly produced by chemical weathering of parent aluminosilicates and ferromagnesian silicates under warm and humid conditions in the lower part of the Mekong River basin (Liu et al., 2005). Therefore, smectite/(illite + chlorite) and smectite/kaolinite (Figure 8) are employed as clay mineral proxies for reconstructing chemical weathering evolution in the Mekong River basin (e.g., Colin et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2004, 2005).

Considering major element geochemistry, TiO2/K2O ratio can be applied as an elemental proxy for chemical weathering, which has been investigated to reconstruct the chemical weathering in previous studies (e.g., Bastian et al., 2017; Wei et al., 2003). TiO2 is extremely resistant to weathering (Nesbitt & Young, 1982; Wei et al., 2003) and is incorporated into secondary minerals, including clays in marine sediments (Bastian et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2002). In contrast, K2O is highly mobile during weathering processes and hence is usually depleted in chemically weathered sediments (Condle et al., 1995; Nesbitt et al., 1980). Hence, the TiO2/K2O ratio is employed as elemental proxy for reconstructing chemical weathering intensity in the source area. However, it is noted that this elemental proxy may also be influenced by variations in grain size. We assess the possible role of grain size by comparing with Al2O3/SiO2 ratio (Figure 7d). The lack of significant correlation between Al2O3/SiO2 and TiO2/K2O ratios \( r = -0.08, \) Figure 7d) indicates that the TiO2/K2O ratio of the studied core is not significantly affected by grain size variation. Therefore, we propose that the variation in TiO2/K2O ratio does express variations in chemical weathering in the Mekong River basin.

It is noted that sea level change can affect variations in terrigenous sediment input to the southern SCS basin (Jiwarungrueangkul et al., 2019; Steinke et al., 2003), which may cause variations of these clay mineral and elemental proxies. In the southern SCS, major sea level rise of about 40 m occurred at ~14.5 cal ka BP during the meltwater pulse (MWP)-1A, leading to a sudden retreat of paleo-river mouths toward the land and flooding of the exposed shelf (Jiwarungrueangkul et al., 2019; Figure 1). Since the characteristic of the shelf at the paleo-Mekong River mouth is high-gradient and very narrow morphology (Figure 1), it could have retreated slowly on the continental shelf during the MWP-1A (Tjallingii et al., 2010). A rapid migration of the paleo-Mekong River mouth could have occurred during the accelerated rate of sea level rise (~25 mm/year) between 9.5 and 8.5 cal ka BP rising from about 34 to 9 m below modern sea level corresponding to the sea level jump of the MWP-1C (Figure 8; Tjallingii et al., 2010). Considering with our proxies, the most prominent changes in smectite/(illite + chlorite), smectite/kaolinite, and TiO2/K2O ratios occurred prior to the major morphology modification of shelf off the Mekong River at the MWP-1C (Figure 8), implying that sea level change may not have significantly affected the variations in these proxies. Besides, a short sediment...
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provide evidence of chemical weathering response to rapid climate change during the Bølling
Asian summer monsoon inferred from the Chinese stalagmite (Figure 8). The weak chemical weathering during this period is related well to the weakening of the East
ized to have experienced weak chemical weathering inferred from the relatively lower values of three proxies during 14.7–12.7 cal ka BP, which is well aligned with the Bølling-Allerød interstadial (Figure 8). The climate during the Belling-Allerød period appears an abrupt warm and strengthening of East Asian summer monsoon (Li et al., 2011; Stebich et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2001). Additionally, a significant decrease in chemical weathering in the Mekong River basin is observed between 12.4 and 11.3 cal ka BP. This phenomenon is associated with a cooling in the areas around mainland Asia (Sun et al., 2005; Zhao et al., 2006), which is associated by the Warm Pool convective activity (El Niño-Southern Oscillation; Partin et al., 2007) while in China is dominated by the East Asian summer monsoon. Therefore, it can be considered that chemical weathering within the Mekong River basin over the last 29.9 ka responses to the prevailing East Asian summer monsoon.

During the period around LGM (29.9–14.7 cal ka BP), the sediments in Mekong River basin are characterized to have experienced weak chemical weathering inferred from the relatively lower values of three proxies (Figure 8). The weak chemical weathering during this period is related well to the weakening of the East Asian summer monsoon inferred from the Chinese stalagmite δ18O records (Wang et al., 2001; Dykoski et al., 2005; Figure 8). This indicates that lower chemical weathering intensity in the Mekong River basin during the LGM period was caused by a weakened summer monsoon. During an abrupt climate change in this period, the Chinese stalagmite records show a rapid change to heavy δ18O value, implying an abrupt decrease in the East Asian summer monsoon intensity (Dykoski et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2001). However, change in chemical weathering intensity recorded by the studied core is not evident during the Heinrich Event 2. This may be explained the Heinrich Event 2 possibly occurred with short duration (Figure 8), which cannot lead to change the chemical weathering intensity within the Mekong River basin due to the longer time period required for the chemical weathering processes. Also, some uncertainty of our age model obtained by linear interpolation between dating points (Figure 2) cannot be excluded. Even so, we observe the weakest chemical weathering during this period coinciding with long periods of the Heinrich Event 1 (~14.8 cal ka BP) when the most weakening of the East Asian summer monsoon occurred in this region (Figure 8; Wang et al., 2001; Dykoski et al., 2005).

During the main deglaciation period (14.7–7.6 cal ka BP), increases in values of these proxies imply that the sediments in the Mekong River basin evidence an increasing chemical weathering intensity compared to the previous period. This coincides with the increase in the East Asian summer monsoon intensity (Figure 8); thus, we suggest that an increase in chemical weathering intensity during the main deglaciation period was the result of a strengthening of the summer monsoon rainfall during this period.

A rapid increase in chemical weathering intensity in the Mekong River basin during this period is observed during 14.7–12.7 cal ka PB, which is well aligned with the Bolling-Allerød interstadial (Figure 8). The climate during the Bolling-Allerød period appears an abrupt warm and strengthening of East Asian summer monsoon (Li et al., 2011; Stebich et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2001). Additionally, a significant decrease in chemical weathering in the Mekong River basin is observed between 12.4 and 11.3 cal ka BP. This phenomenon is associated with a cooling in the areas around mainland Asia (Sun et al., 2005; Zhao et al., 2006), which is related to an interval of a weakened summer monsoon intensity (Wang et al., 2001; Dykoski et al., 2005; Figure 8) as effect of the Younger Dryas cold period (Stuiver et al., 1995). This general pattern of the Younger Dryas agrees with the changes in pollen records from the Tancia Lake in southwestern China (Xiao et al., 2014) and from the Huguangyan Maar Lake in southern China (Sheng et al., 2017), reflecting the impact of a decrease in summer monsoon precipitation during the Younger Dryas. Overall, our findings provide evidence of chemical weathering response to rapid climate change during the Bolling-Allerød and Younger Dryas periods. During the middle to late Holocene (7.6–1.1 cal ka BP), the Mekong River basin was subject to a progressive decrease of chemical weathering intensity as indicated by the gradual decrease in values of the weathering proxies (Figure 8). A slight decrease in chemical weathering in this time interval corresponds to a weakening of the East Asian summer monsoon (Maher, 2008; Figure 8). This implies that the decrease in the chemical weathering intensity in the Mekong River basin is due to a weakened summer monsoon in this time interval.
Our findings exhibit clearly that the variation in chemical weathering intensity in the Mekong River basin since 29.9 cal ka BP has been strongly related to the East Asian summer monsoon. A weaker chemical weathering intensity can be observed in the periods around the LGM (29.9–14.7 cal ka BP) and middle Holocene (7.6–1.1 cal ka BP), as well as during abrupt climate change periods in the Heinrich Event 1 (~14.8 cal ka BP) and Younger Dryas cooling (12.4–11.3 cal ka BP), when the East Asian summer monsoon weakens. This is possibly also associated with changes in the vegetation cover within the basin. In general, the vegetation development around mainland Asia was promoted by significantly increased summer monsoon rainfall (Wen et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2016); thus, the period of a weakened monsoon rainfall would be expected to decrease the vegetation cover in the Mekong River basin. This could lead to a predominance of detrital material issued from the physical erosion (illite, chlorite, and kaolinite; Liu et al., 2004) and thus to a lower input of chemically weathered sediment supplied by the river during a weakening of the East Asian summer monsoon. In contrast, a strengthened chemical weathering intensity is found during the main deglaciation period (14.7–7.6 cal ka BP) and an accelerated increase in chemical weathering intensity is at the Bölling-Allerød period (14.7–12.7 cal ka BP), when the summer monsoon increased (Figure 8). During wet and warm period of summer monsoon reinforcement, the vegetation cover could increase in the Mekong River basin. This cover promotes soil development and thus the production and supply of chemically weathered sediments (smectite) during a strengthening of the East Asian summer monsoon.

For further considering the depth-related chemical weathering variation signals preserved by clay mineralogy off the Mekong River mouth, the ratios of smectite/(illite + chlorite) and smectite/kaolinite of a neighboring Core MD01-2393 from the continental slope along the Mekong River at 1,230 m water depth (Liu et al., 2004; Figure 1) is compared with those of Core SO18383-3. The results show that temporal variations of clay mineral ratios of Core SO18383-3 are similarly to those of Core MD01-2393 (Figure 8), suggesting that the variation in clay mineral compositions off the Mekong River mouth since the LGM mainly reflects the evaluation of chemical weathering intensity within the Mekong River basin. Except for the interval of 4.5 to 1.1 cal ka BP, relatively higher smectite/(illite + chlorite) and smectite/kaolinite are encountered in Core MD01-2393 (deeper water core), comparing with lower values in Core SO18383-3 (shallow water core). It could result from the differential settling effect of smectite when the deeper core location was farther from the Mekong River mouth during the Holocene sea level stand (Figure 1; Ta et al., 2002).

Our discussion primarily reveals an influence of the East Asian summer monsoon on chemical weathering; however, several previous studies have mentioned a decoupling of chemical weathering and the East Asian summer monsoon. For example, studies of elemental and clay mineral proxies in the Taiwan orogeny have found that an intensification of the East Asian summer monsoon may limit chemical weathering rates as erosion rates increase, soil residence times decrease, and weatherable minerals do not have sufficient time for chemical weathering reactions (Dadson et al., 2003; Zhao et al., 2017). Furthermore, Dosseto et al. (2015) investigated the weathering records by using δ7Li variations in clay fraction in the Himalayan range, suggesting that a significant increase in erosion rates is linked to a strengthening of the Indian summer monsoon rainfall since the end of the LGM, mainly via increased runoff and its effect on land sliding or fluvial incision or both. Those regions characterized by tectonically active mountainous catchment are quite different from the Mekong River basin. The landscape of the Mekong River basin, especially in the middle-lower reaches, is characterized by tectonically stable river basin and broad alluvial plain (Liu et al., 2004). We suggest that tectonic activity is no major controlling factor on silicate weathering in the Mekong River basin. This agrees with findings from the Irrawaddy floodplain in Southeast Asia showing an increase in the chemical weathering related to the stronger summer monsoon rainfall (Colin et al., 2006).

5. Conclusions

Clay mineralogy and major element geochemistry from Core SO18383-3 in the southern SCS were investigated to examine the detailed records of provenance and chemical weathering intensity in the Mekong River basin since 29.9 cal ka BP. The major conclusions are as follows:

1. Clay mineral analysis for Core SO18383-3 suggests that the Mekong River is the major sediment supplier for this area located off the Mekong River.
2. The CIA values recorded in core sediments range from 71 to 77 (average 73), referring to moderate weathering in the Mekong River basin over the last 29.9 ka.
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